

UNITED STATES DEP. MENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

Ø8/3<mark>1</mark>/98

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE MHLPLJØY. 06/05/97 LUNDSTRUM Ø8/869,326 EXAMINER TM41/0831 YEE, D NILS H LJUNGMAN NILS H LJUNGMAN & ASSOCIATES P O BOX 130 GREENBURG PA 15601 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1742

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

AFFIAF	ACTION	CHIMANA	ADV

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pro- accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 21 	secution as to the merits is closed in 3.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may b 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, d within the period for response will cause be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 1 To Q	ls/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
X claim(s) 11 to 6 and 22 to 21	is/are rejected.		
X Claim(s) /7 to 2	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 115	9(a)-(d).		
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docum			
Treceived.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (Po			
*Certified copies not received:	· · ·		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892			
7			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	,		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Application/Control Number: 08/869,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Fourth to last line in claim 11 is confusing and awkwardly recited. It is recommended to delete and replace "at least a" with --hardened---.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11 to 16 and 22 to 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK patent 2,115,728.

UK patent '728 teach a steel sheet product manufactured by forging steel sheet to form product, and hardening at least a portion of the steel sheet product, and machining non-hardened softer areas, see lines 17-21 on page 2. Although specifically heating steel sheet to austenitizing temperature prior to hot forming as recited by the claim is not taught by prior art, such would be

Application/Control Number: 08/869,326

Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

inferred because hot formability requires very high temperatures which would be within the austenitizing temperature range.

Although tempering to produce softer area as recited by claim 22 is not taught by prior art, such would not be a patentable difference since tempering is well known in the art and a conventional heat treatment practice to soften steel prior to working or machining and hence would be obvious to incorporate in UK patent '728.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 17 to 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Also language is needed to clearly describe the invention to indicate that hardened and mild areas of the steel product occur during the hot forming of the steel sheet in a forming apparatus.

Art Unit: 1742

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is (703) 308-1102.

DEROSALI VEE PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1900

dy

August 26, 1998